

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,397	01/26/2001	Karlheinz Drauz	201554US0X	4705
22850 7	12/08/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KIM, SUN U	
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI	TREET A. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	1723	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/769,397	DRAUZ ET AL.				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Kim	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S			
THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply chaption and categorial categori	to a on in			
·	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See I	MPEP			
based by the date for purposes of determining the period of extens as CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extensi the final Office action; or (2) a	ion fee under as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim	plifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet	sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were r	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and one or appended.	an .			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-23.	•					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 -				
	·					
·						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There is no evidence that the statements in paragraph 20 of the declaration filed 6/13/03 are supported by two cited journal articles in the paragraph 20. Examiner requests the specific portion of the journal articles supporting the statements in the paragraph 20.

JOHN KIM
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1700